

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/486,129	MIYABAYASHI ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Callie E. Shosho	1714	

All Participants:
Status of Application: Allowed

 (1) Callie E. Shosho.

(3) _____.

 (2) Julian Cohen.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 20 April 2005
Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

19,20

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
The examiner's amendment was agreed to and authorized by Mr. Cohen in order to ensure proper antecedent basis in claims 19-20.
Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the ~~Notice of Allowability~~. *Part II above.*
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)